

### Department of Local Government Finance

David Schwab

Assistant Director of Information Technology

March 26, 2009



# Why are assessments adjusted through trending?

- Indiana Constitution requires fair and equitable property tax assessments.
- 2002 Indiana Supreme Court ruled that current method was unconstitutional and ordered different standard be used.
- General Assembly ordered property be assessed using Market Value-In-Use



### Reassessment (IC 6-1.1-4-4(b))

- Assessors physically inspect each property to ensure that records are correct
- Inspection accomplishes the gathering of data appropriate to value the property
  - Does this property still have a free-standing garage and an in-ground pool?
  - Is the building on this property still 1,200 square feet or has it increased/decreased in size?
- Next statewide general reassessment scheduled to begin July 1, 2009 for 2011 pay 2012 tax bills



### Trending (i.e. annual adjustment)

- Property values are adjusted (the adjustment can be positive or negative) on an annual basis to bring them closer to market value-in-use. The assessing official uses sales of properties in a neighborhood, area, or class of property from the previous two (2) years to determine the adjustment factor.
- In the past, assessed values were adjusted only after a reassessment, which came as far apart as 10 years. Trending now occurs every year.



## Reassessment vs. Trending

- Trending was implemented to supplement, not replace, the reassessments, which current law calls for every 5 years.
- Without trending, reassessments resulted in dramatic shifts in assessed values because the values of properties were typically only adjusted during a reassessment year.
- Trending requires the assessor to annually adjust the value of the property based on market value-in-use, which is primarily determined from sales.



#### **History of Property Valuation Changes**

	Property Sales														
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Tax Year															
2009 Pay 2010 (4)													2009 Pa	y 2010	
2008 Pay 2009												2008 Pa	y 2009		
2007 Pay 2008											2007 Pa	ay 2008			
2006 Pay 2007 (3)										2006 Pay	2007 (3)				
2005 Pay 2006						No Sales from these years used; No change to 2001 Pay 2002 Values									
2004 Pay 2005															
2003 Pay 2004															
2002 Pay 2003 (2)				2002 Pay	2003 (2)										
2001 Pay 2002															
2000 Pay 2001		Market Value													
1999 Pay 2000			apply;												
1998 Pay 1999			inge in s until												
1997 Pay 1998			002												
1996 Pay 1997															
1995 Pay 1996 (1)	1995 Pay 1996 (1)														

#### NOTES:

(1) Reassessment

(2) First use of Market Value

(3) First Annual Trending

(4) DLGF to allow the use of one year of sales only



- Each year, the assessed value is multiplied by a neighborhood factor.
- Values can go up. . .

2008 assessed value: \$100,000

Neighborhood factor: x = 1.1

2009 assessed value: \$110,000



- Each year, the assessed value is multiplied by a neighborhood factor.
- Values can go up. . .

...or down.

2008 assessed value: \$100,000

Neighborhood factor: x = 0.9

2009 assessed value: \$90,000



Whether values go up or down depends on recent sales prices:







Prices fall Factor falls





- A comparison between sales and assessed values in the county to ensure that market values are being used to determine assessed values.
- Determines if assessments are accurate and equitable (mass appraisal basis).
- Standards can be found in 50 IAC 21.



#### Accuracy

It is measured by the median or average level of assessment for a class of property. For any class of property in a township, it should fall between 90% and 110%.

#### Equity

It is measured by the coefficient of dispersion (COD). The COD should at =<15% on improved residential property and =<20% on all other classes.

#### Uniformity

Tells whether low-value properties in a class are over- or undervalued in relation to high-value properties and is measured by price-related differential (PRD).



### Accuracy of Assessments

Accuracy refers to how close the assessments determined by local assessing officials are to market value-in-use

### Equity of Assessments

Equity refers to how uniform assessments are within a class of property or geographic area

Assessments are done on a "Mass Appraisal" basis.



- Accuracy and equity are measured by conducting a statistical analysis using an assessment ratio.
- Assessed Value / Sale Price = Ratio
  - Example 1: \$60,000 / \$60,000 = 100%
  - Example 2: \$54,000 / \$60,000 = 90%
  - Example 3: \$66,000 / \$60,000 = 110%



#### Sales Chasing

Using the sale of a property to trigger a reappraisal of that property at or near the selling price (IAAO Standard on Ratio Studies, 2007).



### Sales Chasing: Two Identical Houses

House 1



Assessment: \$100,000

House 2



Assessment: \$100,000



### Sales Chasing: Two Identical Houses

House 1



Assessment: \$100,000

SOLD! For: \$130,000

House 2



Assessment: \$100,000

**Did NOT Sell** 



#### Sales Chasing: Two Identical Houses

#### House 1



Assessment: \$100,000

SOLD! For: \$130,000

Re-assess: \$130,000

Pays more property taxes

#### House 2



Assessment: \$100,000

**Did NOT Sell** 

Don't Re-assess: \$100,000

Pays same property taxes



#### Sales Chasing: Two Identical Houses

House 1



Re-assess: \$130,000

House 2



Don't Re-assess: \$100,000



## **Contact The Department**

- David Schwab
  - Telephone: 317.234.5861
  - Fax: 317.232.8779
  - E-mail: <u>dschwab@dlgf.in.gov</u>
- Web site: www.in.gov/dlgf
  - "Contact Us": www.in.gov/dlgf/2338.htm